

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Review Body](#)
Subject: Notice of Local Review No 22/04429/FUL
Date: 11 January 2023 11:13:27
Attachments: [ufm27_LRB_Review_Representator_Letter_\(2020\).pdf](#)

Dear Sirs,

We are disappointed to receive your communication Ref: 23/00002/REVREF pertaining to a request for a review relating to the above referenced proposal.

In our prior submission we provided an extensive response to the original planning application specifically in relation to noise and "invasion of privacy" issues which fundamentally cannot be addressed in relation to this project and we are in full agreement with the council decision to reject this application which was the second time the application had been rejected.

We trust that this review again will reject this application and bring this application finally to a close and we trust that our objections and those of our neighbours who have objected to this and the previous application should be taken seriously into consideration as there is no mitigation possible to this roof extension that we will find acceptable.

My best regards,
Alistair M Munro
52/7 Newbattle Terrace
Edinburgh EH10 4RX
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk <localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk>
Sent: 11 January 2023 14:10
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Notice of Local Review No 22/04429/FUL

Please See Attached This email is to inform you that a local review has been received for a planning application that you commented on .

This email and files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended for the sole use of the individual or organisation to whom they are addressed.

If you have received this eMail in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it without using, copying, storing, forwarding or disclosing its contents to any other person.

The Council has endeavoured to scan this eMail message and attachments for computer viruses and will not be liable for any losses incurred by the recipient.

From:

Subject:

Date:

Attachments:

RE: Notice of Local Review No 22/04429/FUL

13 January 2023 09:00:54

[Screenshot 2023-01-12 165819.png](#)

[22_04429_FUL-NEIGHBOUR COMMENT OBJECTION SUBMITTED ONLINE-5585427.pdf](#)

Good Evening

Prior to the Review Body meeting on the 22nd February 2023 I have attached a copy of the Objection, in full, that I submitted to the planners as a neighbour. This is to reinforce the feeling of the objectors.

This Applicant has been refused on 3 occasions now and nothing has fundamentally changed from day one.

One point the Review Body should note is the in their LRB Notice their Agent has stated that he requires a site visit and states that the entire site can be viewed from the road. This is patently untrue. Can see virtually nothing from street level. The only way to properly see the site in question is from the higher balconies on the new apartments 50 – 54 Newbattle Terrace.

Happy to invite you all in however I know that you will normally only view from outside without entering premises.

Regards

Ken Boyd

[Redacted signature block]

[Redacted contact information]

[Redacted signature block]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Review Body](#)
Subject: Review on 22/04429/FUL
Date: 11 January 2023 11:33:27

This was a planning application for an extension on the roof of the building behind our flat at 52/1 Newbattle Terrace. Permission was initially approved, an appeal was made, which was again refused. We are advised that there is now to be a "review".

We do not understand the process, but regard this continual recycling of a very nasty and undeserving development proposal with considerable suspicion. What kind of influence is being exercised to keep pursuing what really is clearly a lost cause. We have read more of the submissions, which express very lucidly several other reasons why this should not proceed, apart from those we expressed ourselves. This really does not deserve to be given permission and it would cause a great deal of bad feeling should it go forward.

What is the review process? Can amendments be put forward by the applicant, is there any element of negotiation? Can objectors participate in any way?

I sincerely hope we can see the end of this unfortunate threat to our amenity and value of our property.

Regards

John and Isobel Laurie

Sent from my iPad

From:



Subject:

Planning Application 22/04429/FIL

Date:

15 January 2023 18:05:09

Dear Gina Bellhouse,

My opposition to the extension remain the same.

I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY THE OWNER IS WASTING ALL OUR TIME AS THE APPLICATION IS THE SAME AS BEFORE, WHICH WAS TURNED DOWN, PREVIOUSLY.

1. The planned extension increases the height of the traditional tenement by a complete storey, ABOVE the height of the original roof and interrupts the traditional skyline. It is very visible from the North side of the tenement.
2. The extension design is out of character with the surrounding materials used for traditional Edinburgh tenements. If planning consent is given, this would set a precedence, leading to many more roof top extensions, irreversibly changing the nature of the building and neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

Angela Bassi

Flat 9

50 Newbattle Terrace

EH10 4RX

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Review Body](#)
Subject: Application No: 22/04429/FU
Date: 15 January 2023 15:28:18

Objection by a neighbour 52/6 Newbattle Terrace

I wish to repeat my objection to the planning application for:

The Roof extension At 3F1 61 Falcon Avenue Edinburgh EH10 4AN Application No: 22/04429/FU

I submitted an objection to the original planning application and I understand this will be available to the Local Review Body.

I note from the Decision Notice that it was rejected on the following grounds:

The reason why the Council made this decision is as follows:

The proposal, in its design and form, choice of materials and positioning is not compatible with the character of the existing building. The proposal does not comply with LDP policy Des 1 and Des 12 and the overall objectives of the Development Plan. There are no material considerations which outweigh this conclusion.

This appears to be a comprehensive rejection and I therefore strongly support the original decision to reject it.

Yours

Mrs Olivia Caton

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows